INTRODUCTION

Hydrothermal vents are extreme environments in many aspects. High pressure, elevated temperatures, high concentrations of toxic substances, such as sulphide, metals and radioisotopes, and low pH prevail in these chemosynthesis-based ecosystems (Le Bris et al. 2006, Fisher et al. 2007, Demina et al. in press). On theoretical grounds, these physico-chemical conditions at vents are believed to favour redox processes leading to the formation of reactive oxygen species (ROS). Indeed, the occurrence of oxygen in
seawater and millimolar sulphide concentrations in venting fluid should promote the production of highly reactive intermediates such as thyl radicals (Giles et al. 2002, Gruhlke & Slusarenko 2012). These can initiate chain reactions that peroxidise cellular lipids (Schöneich et al. 1989, Tweeddale et al. 2007). Sulphides and ROS can also interact with transition metals, which are abundant in the surrounding waters and in animal tissues, especially in gut contents, generating damaging radicals (Halliwell & Gutteridge 1986, Adly 2010). All these factors suggest that vent animals are very likely to encounter high levels of toxic derivatives of oxygen and sulphur, but very little is known about their antioxidant defence mechanisms. Blum & Fridovich (1984) detected superoxide dismutase (SOD) and glutathione peroxidase (GPX) activity in tissues of the hydrothermal vent clam Calyptogena magnifica and tubeworm Riftia pachyptila. This study was not followed by other analyses of antioxidant systems of vent organisms until the work of Bebianno, Company and colleagues. Their investigations of the antioxidant enzyme arsenal of the vent mussel Bathymodiolus azoricus (Company et al. 2004, 2006a,b,c, 2007, 2010, Bebianno et al. 2005) indicated that these mussels possess the classical antioxidant defence enzymes, comprising SOD, GPX and catalase (CAT). Their work suggests that the relative abundance of these enzymes in mussel tissues varies between venting sites, in relation to the abundance of metals such as Fe in the tissues (Bebianno et al. 2005, Cosson et al. 2008), confirming the occurrence of exogenous oxidative stress sources. Moreover, a recent transcriptomic approach using cDNA from the hydrothermal worm Alvinella pompejana showed that a major part of the annotated transcripts were directly related to oxidative stress, illustrating the importance of antioxidant processes in its adaptation to its extreme environment (Gagnière et al. 2010).

Beside the exogenous threat, endogenous production of ROS by mitochondrial respiration could be high in hydrothermal vent thermophilic animals. Indeed, the presence of sulphide in the alvinellid environment can also affect the respiration process by inhibiting the complex IV cytochrome oxidase, potentially leading to an increase in endogenous ROS production, as previously observed in the shallow-water annelids Arenicola marina and Glycera dibranchiate (Abele-Oeschger 1996, Vökel & Grieshaber 1997, Julian et al. 2005, Joyner-Matos & Julian 2011). The possible impact of high endogenous ROS production by cellular respiration on the expression of antioxidant enzymes has not yet been considered in hydrothermal vent organisms. The Pompeii worm Alvinella pompejana lives in a tube attached at the warmest end of the animal-colonised zone of the active chimneys along the East Pacific Rise (EPR). In situ temperatures recorded inside the tube of Alvinella are as high as 80°C, suggesting that the worm’s habitat is flushed with high temperature (Cary et al. 1998, Di Meo-Savoie et al. 2004, Le Bris et al. 2005, Pradillon et al. 2009). A. pompejana is an active, highly aerobic worm (Jouin-Toulmond et al. 1996, Hourdez et al. 2000, Le Bris & Gaill 2007), but spends most of its time inside its tube, expanding its large gills only for very short periods (Desbruyères et al. 1998, Pradillon et al. 2005, Le Bris & Gaill 2007), probably to avoid the toxic effects related to potential ROS production from respiration in gills when exposed to the hot hydrothermal fluid outside the tube. The correlations between oxidative metabolism and antioxidant activities have been previously investigated in the alvinellid Paralvinella grasslei exposed to varying oxygen concentration in hyperbaric chambers (Marie et al. 2006). This study indicated that GPX and the very low CAT-like activities were correlated to citrate synthase (CS) and cytochrome c oxidase (COX) activities, thus reflecting the importance of respiration as a ROS source. On the other hand, SOD activity levels were not linked to those of metabolic enzymes in any tissue, suggesting that this activity could respond to other threats, possibly exogenous.

The aim of the present study was to determine (1) the levels of metabolic and antioxidant enzyme activity in Alvinella pompejana, (2) if these activities are equally active in the tissues, (3) the possible link between antioxidant enzyme activities and the aerobic metabolic activity of the tissues, and (4) the occurrence of significant variations between different vents in these activities.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Animal collection**

Collection of Alvinella pompejana (22 individuals; body weight 729 ± 443 mg and size 5.75 ± 0.91 cm) was performed at the Elsa (12° 48.14'N, 103° 56.31'W; n = 6), Herisson (12° 49.34'N, 103° 56.67'W; n = 6), Julie (12° 49.01’N, 103° 56.58’W; n = 4) and Parigo (12° 48.60’N, 103° 56.43’W; n = 6) vent sites on the EPR during the PHARE cruise (May 2002), using the manipulated arm or the slurp gun of the ROV Victor 6000. Animals were brought from 2600 m to the sur-
face in watertight and temperature-insulated containers. On board the RV ‘Atalante’, they were quickly transferred to a cold room (10°C) and animals of similar size range were selected, then dissected on ice. Collected tissues (gill, head, body wall, pygidium and gut) were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and kept at −80°C in the laboratory until analysis.

Sample preparation

Tissues were weighed and homogenised (w/v = 1:5) in phosphate-buffered saline, pH 7.4, containing 1% Triton X-100. An aliquot of the homogenate was used for the determination of protein content (Lowry et al. 1951), and the remainder was centrifuged at 15,000 × g (15 min, 4°C). The supernatant was used for enzyme activity assays. Enzymatic activity measurements were performed at 25°C in order to allow comparisons with previous investigations.

Biochemical assays

CAT assay (EC 1.11.1.6)

CAT activity was measured by chemiluminescence according to the method of Janssens et al. (2000). The consumption of H₂O₂ was measured at 25°C on a PC-controlled microplate luminometer (Berthold LB96P). Firstly, 50 µl of 1 µmol l⁻¹ H₂O₂ was added to 50 µl of sample diluted in 100 µl of 100 mmol l⁻¹ phosphate buffer, pH = 7.8, containing 0.6 mmol l⁻¹ EDTA. After 30 min incubation at 25°C, the injection of 50 µl of 20 mmol l⁻¹ luminol and 11.6 U ml⁻¹ horseradish peroxidase produced an emission of light at an intensity proportional to the remaining quantity of H₂O₂. H₂O₂-consuming activity in samples was estimated from a standard curve made with purified bovine liver solubilised in PBS-Triton buffer.

SOD assay (EC 1.15.1)

The spectrophotometric method of Flohé & Ötting (1984) was adapted for microplate measurements. The assay is based on the competition between SOD and oxidised cytochrome c for O₂⁻⁻ generated by the reaction of hypoxanthine with xanthine oxidase (EC 1.13.3.22). The reduction rate of cytochrome c (2 µmol l⁻¹) was measured at 550 nm (25°C), in 180 µl of 50 mmol l⁻¹ phosphate buffer, pH = 7.8, with 0.5 mmol l⁻¹ EDTA, 5 µmol l⁻¹ hypoxanthine and 10 µl diluted sample. The reaction was initiated by injecting 10 µl xanthine oxidase (0.2 U ml⁻¹). SOD activity in samples was estimated with Cu/Zn-SOD purified from bovine erythrocytes.

GPX (EC 1.11.1.9)

GPX was measured spectrophotometrically by following the reduction rate of NADPH at 340 nm (25°C), using the protocol of Paglia & Valentine (1967) modified for 96-well microplates (Janssens et al. 2000). Fifteen µl of diluted sample were added to 120 µl of 50 mmol l⁻¹ Tris-HCl buffer, pH = 7.6, containing 0.1 mmol l⁻¹ EDTA, 0.14 mmol l⁻¹ NADPH, 1 mmol l⁻¹ glutathione (GSH) and 1 U GSH reductase (EC 1.6.4.2). The reaction was initiated by the addition of 15 µl of 0.2 mmol l⁻¹ t-butyli hydroperoxide. GPX activity was estimated using the molar extinction coefficient of NADPH (6220 mol⁻¹ l cm⁻¹).

Metabolic enzymes

Oxidative metabolism in the tissues was estimated from CS (EC 4.1.3.7) and COX (EC 1.9.3.1) activities, 2 mitochondrial enzymes well correlated to the oxygen consumption of tissues (Childress & Somero 1979, Thuesen & Childress 1993, 1994).

CS activity was measured according to the protocol of Childress & Somero (1979) modified for multiwell plates. Twenty µl of diluted sample was added to 160 µl of Tris-HCl buffer (100 mmol l⁻¹, pH = 8) containing 15 µmol l⁻¹ of 5,5'-dithio-bis(2-nitrobenzoic acid, DTNB) and 25 µmol l⁻¹ acetyl-CoA. Consumption of acetyl-CoA was followed at 420 nm at 25°C after adding 20 µl of 50 µmol l⁻¹ oxaloacetate. CS activity was estimated using the molar extinction coefficient of DTNB (13 600 mol⁻¹ l cm⁻¹).

The spectrophotometric assay method for COX of Yonetani (1967) was adapted for microplates. The oxidation of 0.1 mol l⁻¹ cytochrome c solubilised in 180 µl of Tris-HCl buffer (50 mmol l⁻¹, pH = 7.6) was followed at 550 nm (25°C) after addition of 20 µl of diluted sample. COX activity was estimated using the molar extinction coefficient of cytochrome c (19 mol⁻¹ l cm⁻¹).

Lactate dehydrogenase (LDH, EC 1.1.1.27) was assayed in the tissues. The assay is based on the consumption of NADH for the reduction of pyruvate into lactate by LDH (Hand & Somero 1983). The assay medium contained, in a total volume of 200 µl, 80 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.5 at 4°C), 2 mM sodium...
pyruvate, 150 µM NADH and 100 mM KCl. The reaction was initiated with a small volume of the diluted sample (20 µl) and the consumption of NADH was monitored at 340 nm.

**Reagents**

All reagents were purchased from Sigma, except for Triton X-100, GSH and glutathione reductase, which were obtained from Boehringer. Protein content was determined spectrophotometrically with the Lowry Protein Assay (Bio-Rad) following the manufacturer’s protocols.

**Statistical analyses**

The enzymatic activities are means of triplicate measurements per individual and are expressed relative to the protein content and the wet weight (wet wt) of the tissue. Although the expression of enzyme activity as a function of the wet wt seems to be more appropriate for comparing physiological capacities of the tissues, activities expressed relative to the protein content are often used in works published on different species. A canonical redundancy analysis (RDA) was performed as a form of multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA), as proposed by Legendre & Anderson (1999); the method is also described by Legendre & Legendre (2012). This method uses permutation tests of significance, which remain valid for small samples and non-normally distributed data. The response matrix (Y) contained data for the 5 enzyme activities (CS, COX, LDH, GPX and SOD) as columns, and 5 tissues from *Alvinella* worms at 4 vent sites (X). The explanatory matrices contained factors representing the tissues, the vent sites, and their interaction coded into contrast variables using Helmert coding. Due to unbalanced design Type III correction (anova.2way.unbalanced.R script) was used for sum of square calculation as suggested in Legendre & Anderson (1999). Results of RDA were shown in a biplot graphs which present relationships (correlations) between the response variables and the explanatory variables. For graphical representation, explanatory variables were binary coded (dummy variables). The R-language packages rdaTest and anova.2way.unbalanced.R, available at http://adn.biol.umontreal.ca/~numericalecology/FonctionsR/, were used to compute the canonical analyses and produce biplot graphs.

**RESULTS**

Enzyme activities expressed in g wet wt and mg protein are summarised in Table 1. CS, COX, LDH, GPX and SOD activities were detected in all tissues of *Alvinella pompejana* and varied by 1 order of magnitude between tissues. We were not able to determine CAT activity in all samples. Independently of these missing values, the measured CAT activity was low (35 to 70 U g⁻¹ wet wt) and showed moderate differences between tissues. The occurrence of CAT activity was not clear and will be discussed below. CAT activity was therefore excluded from canonical RDA.

The first set of RDA analysis was performed on enzyme activity in tissues and vent sites as well as the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tissue</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>CS</th>
<th>COX</th>
<th>LDH</th>
<th>GPX</th>
<th>CAT</th>
<th>SOD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Head</td>
<td>U g⁻¹ wet wt</td>
<td>3.53 ± 0.23 (22)</td>
<td>0.53 ± 0.09 (22)</td>
<td>0.91 ± 0.11 (21)</td>
<td>0.43 ± 0.04 (21)</td>
<td>35 ± 8 (15)</td>
<td>474 ± 65 (22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U mg⁻¹ protein</td>
<td>0.068 ± 0.005 (22)</td>
<td>0.010 ± 0.002 (22)</td>
<td>0.017 ± 0.002 (21)</td>
<td>0.008 ± 0.001 (21)</td>
<td>0.66 ± 0.15 (15)</td>
<td>8.97 ± 1.26 (22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gills</td>
<td>U g⁻¹ wet wt</td>
<td>9.51 ± 1.00 (19)</td>
<td>1.57 ± 0.37 (19)</td>
<td>1.46 ± 0.22 (18)</td>
<td>0.79 ± 0.12 (18)</td>
<td>52 ± 12 (11)</td>
<td>1439 ± 213 (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U mg⁻¹ protein</td>
<td>0.139 ± 0.012 (19)</td>
<td>0.026 ± 0.007 (19)</td>
<td>0.021 ± 0.003 (18)</td>
<td>0.012 ± 0.002 (18)</td>
<td>0.74 ± 0.15 (11)</td>
<td>23.10 ± 3.83 (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body wall</td>
<td>U g⁻¹ wet wt</td>
<td>2.57 ± 0.26 (21)</td>
<td>0.46 ± 0.08 (21)</td>
<td>0.38 ± 0.05 (20)</td>
<td>0.17 ± 0.02 (19)</td>
<td>39 ± 19 (7)</td>
<td>919 ± 196 (21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U mg⁻¹ protein</td>
<td>0.059 ± 0.009 (21)</td>
<td>0.011 ± 0.003 (21)</td>
<td>0.009 ± 0.002 (20)</td>
<td>0.004 ± 0.001 (19)</td>
<td>0.84 ± 0.41 (7)</td>
<td>20.61 ± 5.51 (21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pygidium</td>
<td>U g⁻¹ wet wt</td>
<td>2.74 ± 0.33 (13)</td>
<td>0.24 ± 0.04 (13)</td>
<td>0.24 ± 0.05 (13)</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.02 (13)</td>
<td>37 ± 7 (13)</td>
<td>357 ± 102 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U mg⁻¹ protein</td>
<td>0.076 ± 0.011 (13)</td>
<td>0.006 ± 0.001 (13)</td>
<td>0.007 ± 0.002 (13)</td>
<td>0.005 ± 0.001 (13)</td>
<td>1.04 ± 0.20 (13)</td>
<td>8.86 ± 2.25 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guts</td>
<td>U g⁻¹ wet wt</td>
<td>1.63 ± 0.28 (20)</td>
<td>0.78 ± 0.29 (20)</td>
<td>0.19 ± 0.06 (20)</td>
<td>0.41 ± 0.02 (20)</td>
<td>70 ± 14 (20)</td>
<td>2737 ± 487 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>U mg⁻¹ protein</td>
<td>0.038 ± 0.007 (20)</td>
<td>0.016 ± 0.006 (20)</td>
<td>0.005 ± 0.001 (20)</td>
<td>0.010 ± 0.001 (20)</td>
<td>1.73 ± 0.37 (20)</td>
<td>64.20 ± 11.81 (20)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
interaction of both as explanatory variables. The results showed a significant effect for the tissue–site interaction (p = 0.001), which led us to carry out 2 groups of analyses in order to investigate inter-tissue variations at each vent site on the one hand, and collection site effects on each tissue on the other hand. See Fig. 1 for enzymatic activities expressed by tissues and vent sites.

Significant RDA results were observed for tissue effect at each collection site where more than 52% of total variance was explained by tissue differentiation (Fig. 2). At each collection site, good correlations were found between the activities of GPX, COX, CS and LDH where most of the variance (canonical axis 1) was explained by the differences between gills, head and the integuments (body wall and pygidium). The highest activities for these enzymes were recorded in gills followed by heads and integuments, with the exception of COX at the Elsa site, where only 25% of variance was explained by RDA, illustrating that the COX activity did not vary much between tissues in this location. At the 4 vent sites, a significant part of the total variance (canonical axis 2) was also explained by the higher SOD activity in guts compared to the other tissues. No correlations were found for SOD activity with the metabolic enzymes, suggesting that SOD activity was not related or associated with ROS generated during respiratory process.

The RDA analysis carried out to investigate the influence of the vent source on activities of metabolic and antioxidant enzymes showed significant differences only for gills and guts (Fig. 3). In gills, the differentiation between vent sites explained 39% of total variance based on CS, COX and GPX activities for the first canonical axis (27% of total variance) and on LDH and SOD for the second canonical axis (11% of total variance). RDA explained 34 and 45% of COX and GPX total variance, respectively, on the first canonical axis. The biplot allowed gill COX and GPX activities to be classified in decreasing order as follows (1) Parigo, (2) Herisson and Julie, and (3) Elsa. For CS, 45% of total variance was explained by RDA on the first canonical axis, where highest activity was found in Parigo, followed by Julie and then by the 2 remaining sites. On the second canonical axis, the LDH activities (32% of total variance on second axis) allowed Julie and Parigo to be differentiated from the other vents. For SOD, 13% of the variability was explained on the second axis by RDA, illustrating the low contribution to total variance. The collection site effect explained 27% of total variance observed in guts with 20% for the first axis and 5% for the second. SOD variations explained most of the differentiation between vent sites (69% of SOD total variance on canonical axis 1), where highest values were observed in Elsa.

DISCUSSION

Antioxidant abilities of Alvinella pompejana

The pattern of antioxidant enzyme activity of Alvinella pompejana is peculiar, with a very low CAT activity and very high SOD activity. Compared to levels recorded in Paralvinella grasslei, A. pompejana SOD activity is even higher, markedly superior to values reported for non-hydrothermal annelids (Marie et al. 2006). On the other hand, it is not clear whether the observed hydrogen peroxide consumption by tissue homogenates actually reflects the occurrence of CAT. Activities are indeed very low for this enzyme, undetectable with classical spectrophotometric assay methods. Interestingly, in their pioneering work, Blum & Fridovich (1984) were also not able to measure CAT activity in tissues of the hydrothermal vent clam Calyptogena magnifica and tubeworm Riftia pachyptila. They ascribed this observation to the inhibition of CAT by sulphide or degradation of this enzyme in samples. In contrast, studies performed on the vent mussel Bathymodiolus azoricus (Bebianno et al. 2005, Company et al. 2010) indicated that these mussels possess the classical antioxidant defence enzymes, comprising SOD, GPX and CAT. Compared to this lamellibranch, for which CAT activity ranged from 5 to 18.5 U mg⁻¹ protein (Bebianno et al. 2005), activities detected in A. pompejana were even lower, below 2 U mg⁻¹ protein. The low CAT activity in A. pompejana and P. grasslei, and its absence in Calyptogena magnifica and Riftia pachyptila (Blum & Fridovich 1984) are intriguing and suggest that sample deterioration is not responsible for the absence of CAT in C. magnifica and R. pachyptila, as proposed by Blum & Fridovich (1984). Indeed, no recovery of CAT activity was observed when P. grasslei was incubated on board in sulphide-free water. Rather, these very low CAT activities are most probably related to peculiarities in the hydrothermal environment. One possible cause is the abundance of sulphides in the hydrothermal environment and their inhibitory effect on heme-containing enzymes such as CAT (Beers & Sizer 1954, Carlsson et al. 1988, Benetti et al. 2013). The susceptibility of CAT to sulphides could render this enzyme poorly efficient in the sulphide-rich hydrothermal environment (Le Bris et al. 2006, Fisher
et al. 2007). In addition, an exhaustive transcriptomic of *A. pompejana* was unable to detect any CAT gene, while all other antioxidant enzyme-encoding mRNAs were clearly detected (Gagnière et al. 2010), suggesting that the CAT gene is poorly or not expressed in this animal. Interestingly, a CAT gene is also still lacking in the *R. pachyptila* symbiont metagenome sequence (Markert et al. 2007). In our case, the observed CAT-like activity might thus represent the consumption of $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$ by other processes, such as
Fig. 2. *Alvinella pompejana*. Redundancy analysis biplots representing the tissue effects at each vent site on metabolic and antioxidant enzymatic activities (response variables, arrows). The percentage of explained variance of the enzyme activity among tissues ($R^2$) as well as the significance (all highly significant) is shown in each panel. See Table 1 for enzyme abbreviations.
metal-catalysed reactions, or by sulphur amino acids known to be present at high concentrations in the tissues of hydrothermal animals (Yin et al. 2000, Brand et al. 2007, Yancey et al. 2009). As in P. grasslei, the virtual absence of CAT activity does not seem to be compensated by higher GPX activity. This could possibly reflect the low threat posed by H$_2$O$_2$ to these animals, and thus a low resistance of these animals. Since Dixon et al. (2002) reported a similar susceptibility to exogenous H$_2$O$_2$ for P. grasslei and shallow-water polychaetes, it is likely that P. grasslei and A. pompejana compensate their very low CAT and low GPX activities by increasing levels of other peroxidases. Peroxiredoxins (PRDXs) are a recently discovered class of ubiquitous peroxidases expressed in all animal cells (Rhee et al. 2001, Shuvaeva et al. 2009). These thiol-based antioxidant proteins are insensitive to sulphide and, on the contrary, some PRDX family members use H$_2$S as a physiological reductant (Peshenko & Shichi 2001, Loumaye et al. 2011). The presence of such a mechanism in alvinellids would detoxify both peroxides and sulphides, bringing advantages in comparison to other peroxidases. Beside the possible utilisation of H$_2$S, the endowment of chaperone activity by some of the PRDX family members could have favoured their selection in these thermophilic animals, as suggested by the presence of PRDX-related cDNA in A. pompejana (Gagnière et al. 2010). It is also noteworthy that alkyl hydroperoxide reductase (AhpC), the bacterial homologue of PRDXs, is strongly induced in R. pachyptila symbionts experimentally exposed to H$_2$O$_2$ (Markert et al. 2007). This supports the possible role of PRDXs as important peroxide detoxification mechanisms in hydrothermal vent organisms, including A. pompejana.

The high SOD activity present in all tissues of Alvinella pompejana a does not appear to target respiration-derived ROS as no correlation was found between SOD and metabolic enzyme activities. Other sources of O$_2^-$, not directly associated with the respiratory chain, might thus be responsible for setting the expression level of SOD between tissues. High SOD activities are often linked to the presence of haemoglobin in invertebrates, possibly reflecting the tendency of these respiratory pigments to form O$_2^-$ via autoxidation (Abele-Oeschger & Oeschger 1995, Abele-Oeschger 1996, Joyner & Julian, 2011). It is thus possible that high SOD activity could be required for neutralising superoxide associated with the oxidation of haemoglobin, particularly abundant
in alvinellids. Moreover, Julian et al. (2005) have demonstrated that oxidative stress and superoxide production in the sulphide-tolerant annelid Glycera dibranchiate was associated with mitochondrial depolarisation following H2S exposure. In this context, the high SOD activity measured in gills in the present study could be associated with the presence of H2S in this tissue. Another possibility is that this remarkably high level of SOD activity could be related to the presence of metallic ions within the tissues, especially important in gut contents, and would directly serve to detoxify superoxide formed by the transition metal ion Fenton reaction.

Relationship between metabolic and antioxidant activities

The COX and LDH activities measured in this study are within the same range as those reported in previous works on Alvinella pompejana (Hand & Somero 1983, Desbruyères et al. 1998). Activity levels of all metabolic enzymes varied markedly between tissues. Gills showed the highest CS, COX and LDH activities, suggesting that this tissue is the most metabolically active tissue of A. pompejana. Similar results were found in the paralvinellid worms Paralvinella palmiformis and P. sulfincola by Rinke & Lee (2009) and previously in P. grasslei by Marie et al. (2006). For the first 2 species, the lower CS associated with higher anaerobic activities in the body wall in comparison to the gills was ascribed to an extensive contribution of anaerobic pathways in body wall muscles. Analysis of the relationships of antioxidant enzymes with aerobic metabolism showed that GPX, but not SOD activity, correlated with COX and CS activities in all tissues. This indicates that H2O2 production by the mitochondrial electron transport chain sets the expression level of GPX. Similar correlations between GPX and metabolic enzymes have been reported for Paralvinella grasslei (Marie et al. 2006), indicating that GPX has no particular status in these hydrothermal annelids and is adjusted to the endogenous ROS production rate by oxidative metabolism, as classically observed in other marine organisms (Janssens et al. 2000, Marie et al. 2006). Surprisingly, good correlation was found between LDH and GPX. The reason for this correlation remains unclear. Recently, beside its involvement in anaerobic energy production, LDH has been shown to play an important role in the regulation of the redox balance of cells which are known to regulate the activity of GPX (Ying 2008, Ramanathan et al. 2009).

Inter-vent variations

Variations in enzyme activities between venting sites could be indicative of the influence of the chemical environment on worm physiology. Unfortunately, in the present study we did not record precise data on differences in temperature regime, fluid chemistry or metal accumulation by Alvinella pompejana at the 4 sampled sites. Nevertheless, data collected by Matabos et al. (2008) and Matabos & Thiebaut (2010) during the same campaign indicated that the Parigo site was characterised by high sulphides and low iron concentrations, while the Elsa site possessed the opposite characteristics with low sulphides and high iron concentrations. Interestingly, Bebianno et al. (2005) have reported significant influence of the vent field on SOD, CAT and GPX activities of Bathymodiolus azoricus. They ascribe these differences to the site-specific metal accumulation and to the chemical composition of the hydrothermal fluid. In B. azoricus, gill levels of SOD, CAT and GPX were correlated with Mn, Fe and Cd, respectively. In our data, although the SOD activity in gills was slightly higher in the iron-rich site Elsa (Elsa: 1954 ± 338 U g⁻¹ wet wt; Parigo: 1240 ± 286 U g⁻¹ wet wt), the most important difference in SOD activity between the both sites was recorded in gut samples. In this tissue, the SOD activity was approximately 2-fold higher in animals from Elsa, reflecting site-related differences in the metal content of the guts, as observed by Desbruyères et al. (1998). All of these elements suggest a putative effect of metal content, especially Fe, on the SOD activity in the gills and guts, but specific concentrations of metal content in tissues are required to validate this hypothesis. Beside the side effect on SOD, our results also revealed that worms from Parigo exhibited the highest energetic metabolism (CS and COX) and GPX activities in gills. With regard to the high sulphide concentration recorded at Parigo by Matabos et al. (2008) and Matabos & Thiebaut (2010), this suggests that gills exposed to high sulphide concentrations develop more metabolic abilities. This physiological change could be considered as a compensatory response to the inhibiting effect of sulphide on aerobic metabolism. More precisely, if we assume that CS and COX activities are correlated to the mitochondrial content (Larsen et al. 2012), we can hypothesise that animals exposed to higher sulphide concentrations increase their mitochondrial density in gills in order to ensure accurate energetic metabolism. The impact of sulphide on the energetic metabolism of annelids has been well documented (see review of Joyner & Julian 2011) but, to
our knowledge, the impact on the mitochondrial density has never been investigated and requires further study to validate this hypothesis.

CONCLUSION

To conclude, these results suggest that Pompeii worms present a rather peculiar antioxidant arsenal, with little or no CAT-like activity and high SOD levels. This high superoxide detoxification potential could not be linked to oxidative metabolism, indicating that non-respiratory mechanisms, possibly the abundance of haemoglobin or metal ions, underlie the higher requirements for SOD. The high SOD would in turn generate high levels of H$_2$O$_2$ which are unlikely to be catalysed by either the very low CAT or the low GPX activities. Other sulphide-resistant peroxidases, such as peroxiredoxins, could be more effective in preventing SOD-generated H$_2$O$_2$ entering Fenton-like production of hydroxyl radicals in a metal-rich environment. Finally, some inter-site variations were measured in gills and guts, reflecting probably differences in the physico-chemical conditions of vents, especially in sulphide and metal content.
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